



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,231	10/17/2001	Steve Anderson Platt	PLA13 P-300	5580
277	7590	03/04/2004	EXAMINER WAKS, JOSEPH	
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP 695 KENMOOR, S.E. P O BOX 2567 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			ART UNIT 2834	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/981,231

Applicant(s)

PLATT, STEVE ANDERSON

Examiner

Joseph Waks

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 and 39-70 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 11-14, 20-23, 46-49 and 58-70 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9, 10, 15-19, 24-28, 34-37, 39-45 and 50-57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 29-33 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Drawings

2. The corrected drawings were received on December 31, 2003. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. **Claims 9, 10, 15, 17-19, 24, 26, 57** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Abe (US 4,311,434)**.

Abe discloses invention as claimed: a tower 1, a vertical elevator including track 1a, a carriage 6, 12, a pivot ring in a form of a roller bearing 17, a winch 13, a cable 14 and a pulley (Re Figure 3), a plurality of air foils 5, and a wind power electric power generator (Re column 1, lines 5-10) wherein the wind powered generator can be removably placed within the carriage after the tower has been erected and lifted vertically with the carriage to position the wind

Art Unit: 2834

powered generator at a top of the tower, and wherein the wind powered generator can be removed from within the carriage after the carriage has been lowered (Re column 2, lines 21, 30).

Re claims 10 and 19, **Abe** discloses the track including a first side guide having a first vertical strip 1a and a second side guide having a second vertical strip 1a and the carriage including a first side groove configured to accept the first vertical strip and a second side groove configured to accept the second vertical strip.

5. **Claims 18, 19** are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Willis et al.** (US 6,278,198).

Willis et al. disclose a wind powered electrical generation system including a tower 12 with a vertical elevator, the vertical elevator having a track 26 and a carriage 32 configured to move along the track; and a wind powered generator 26 configured to be connected to the carriage, the wind powered generator including a plurality of airfoils and an electric generator (Re column 3, lines 60-65), wherein the wind powered generator can be removably placed within the carriage after the tower has been erected and lifted vertically with the carriage to position the wind powered generator at a top of the tower, and wherein the wind powered generator can be removed from within the carriage after the carriage has been lowered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 16, and 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Abe (US 4,311,434)** in view of **Douthit (US 6,239,507)**.

Abe discloses the tower essentially as claimed. However, **Abe** does not disclose the carriage including the plurality of contacts contacting the rotating portion of the wind power generator.

Douthit discloses a carriage 16 rotatably supporting a wind powered generator 10 and having a plurality of contacts 130, 132 contacting the rotating portion of the generator 140, 142 for the purpose of transferring the current from the generator to an external storage or transmission means while allowing unlimited and free rotation of the generation above a vertical axis.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the tower as taught by **Abe** and to provide the carriage including the plurality of contacts contacting the rotating portion of the wind power generator as taught by **Douthit** for the purpose of transferring the current from the generator to an external storage or transmission means while allowing unlimited and free rotation of the generation above a vertical axis.

8. **Claims 27, 28, 34-37** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Abe (US 4,311,434)** in view of **Baraes (US 4,374,631)**.

Abe discloses the tower essentially as claimed. However, **Abe** does not disclose a rod rotating within a housing and at least two spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod and each having an airfoil connected thereon.

Baraes discloses the wind powered generator (Re column 3, lines 25-27) having a rotating rod (Re column 3, lines 23 and 24), spars 6 connected to, and radially extending from the rod each having an airfoil 5 connected thereon, wherein the airfoils are configured to pivot about the spars and to slide longitudinally along the spars, the airfoils are biased towards a first end of the spars connected to the rod, each spar includes a cam member 10 adjacent a second end of the spar opposite to the rod, each airfoil includes a cam surface 9 configured to engage the cam member on the spar, the cam member and the cam surface are configured to engage to thereby rotate the airfoils relative to the spars as the airfoils move along the spars towards the second end of the spars, for the purpose of providing a speed limiting system to assure reliable operation during adverse weather conditions.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the tower as taught by **Abe** and to provide the rotating rod and the spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod, each having an airfoil connected thereon, wherein the airfoils are configured to pivot about the spars and to slide longitudinally along the spars, the airfoils are biased towards a first end of the spars connected to the rod, each spar includes a cam member adjacent a second end of the spar opposite to the rod, each airfoil includes a cam surface configured to engage the cam member on the spar, the cam member and the cam surface are configured to engage to thereby rotate the airfoils relative to the spars as the airfoils move along the spars towards the second end of the spars, for the purpose of providing a speed limiting system to assure reliable operation during adverse weather conditions.

9. **Claims 39, 41-45, 50, 52, and 54-56** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Salter (US 4,110,631)** in view of **Abe (US 4,311,434)**.

Art Unit: 2834

Salter discloses wind powered generator comprising a rod configured to rotate within a housing, at least six spars 21 connected to the rod and extending radially therefrom; an airfoil 22 connected to each of the spars at a location distal the rod wherein the spars and the rod rotate as wind passes the airfoils, thereby powering the generator. However, **Salter** does not disclose the housing and the generator located upwind of the spars and interconnected to the rod.

Abe discloses the well known in the art wind turbine generator system having a housing 2 located upwind the blades 5 (Re column 4, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the wind powered generator as taught by **Salter** and to provide the well known in the art configuration with the generator housing located upwind the rotor as taught by **Abe** since applicant did not disclose that such configuration solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with upwind or downwind configuration.

10. **Claim 40** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Salter** (US 4,110,631) in view of **Abe** (US 4,311,434) as applied to claim 39 above and further in view of **Baraes** (US 4,374,631).

The combination discloses the tower essentially as claimed. However, it does not disclose a rod rotating within a housing and at least two spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod and each having an airfoil connected thereon.

Baraes discloses the wind powered generator (Re column 3, lines 25-27) having a rotating rod (Re column 3, lines 23 and 24), spars 6 connected to, and radially extending from the rod each having an airfoil 5 connected thereon, wherein the airfoils are configured to pivot about

Art Unit: 2834

the spars and to slide longitudinally along the spars, the airfoils are biased towards a first end of the spars connected to the rod, each spar includes a cam member 10 adjacent a second end of the spar opposite to the rod; each airfoil includes a cam surface 9 configured to engage the cam member on the spar, the cam member and the cam surface are configured to engage to thereby rotate the airfoils relative to the spars as the airfoils move along the spars towards the second end of the spars, for the purpose of providing a speed limiting system to assure reliable operation during adverse weather conditions.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined tower and to provide the rotating rod and the spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod, each having an airfoil connected thereon rotating rod and the spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod, each having an airfoil connected thereon, wherein the airfoils are configured to pivot about the spars and to slide longitudinally along the spars, the airfoils are biased towards a first end of the spars connected to the rod, each spar includes a cam member adjacent a second end of the spar opposite to the rod, each airfoil includes a cam surface configured to engage the cam member on the spar, the cam member and the cam surface are configured to engage to thereby rotate the airfoils relative to the spars as the airfoils move along the spars towards the second end of the spars, for the purpose of providing a speed limiting system to assure reliable operation during adverse weather conditions.

11. **Claim 51** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Salter (US 4,110,631)** in view of **Abe (US 4,311,434)** as applied to claim 44 above and further in view of **Douthit (US 6,239,507)**.

Art Unit: 2834

The combined system discloses the tower essentially as claimed. However, it does not disclose the carriage including the plurality of contacts contacting the rotating portion of the wind power generator.

Douthit discloses a carriage 16 rotatably supporting a wind powered generator 10 and having a plurality of contacts 130, 132 contacting the rotating portion of the generator 140, 142 for the purpose of transferring the current from the generator to an external storage or transmission means while allowing unlimited and free rotation of the generation above a vertical axis.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined tower and to provide the carriage including the plurality of contacts contacting the rotating portion of the wind power generator as taught by **Douthit** for the purpose of transferring the current from the generator to an external storage or transmission means while allowing unlimited and free rotation of the generation above a vertical axis.

12. **Claim 53** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Salter (US 4,110,631)** in view of **Abe (US 4,311,434)** as applied to claim 44 above and further in view of **Baraes (US 4,374,631)**.

The combination discloses the tower essentially as claimed. However, it does not disclose a rod rotating within a housing and at least two spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod and each having an airfoil connected thereon.

Baraes discloses the wind powered generator (Re column 3, lines 25-27) having a rotating rod (Re column 3, lines 23 and 24), spars 6 connected to, and radially extending from the

Art Unit: 2834

rod each having an airfoil 5 connected thereon, wherein the airfoils are configured to pivot about the spars and to slide longitudinally along the spars, the airfoils are biased towards a first end of the spars connected to the rod, each spar includes a cam member 10 adjacent a second end of the spar opposite to the rod; each airfoil includes a cam surface 9 configured to engage the cam member on the spar, the cam member and the cam surface are configured to engage to thereby rotate the airfoils relative to the spars as the airfoils move along the spars towards the second end of the spars, for the purpose of providing a speed limiting system to assure reliable operation during adverse weather conditions.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined tower and to provide the rotating rod and the spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod, each having an airfoil connected thereon rotating rod and the spars connected to, and radially extending from the rod, each having an airfoil connected thereon, wherein the airfoils are configured to pivot about the spars and to slide longitudinally along the spars, the airfoils are biased towards a first end of the spars connected to the rod, each spar includes a cam member adjacent a second end of the spar opposite to the rod, each airfoil includes a cam surface configured to engage the cam member on the spar, the cam member and the cam surface are configured to engage to thereby rotate the airfoils relative to the spars as the airfoils move along the spars towards the second end of the spars, for the purpose of providing a speed limiting system to assure reliable operation during adverse weather conditions.

13. **Claim 57** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Abe (US 4,311,434)** in view of **Fergusson (US 5,244,346)**.

Abe discloses a tower 1 having three vertical columns connected with braces, a fully assembled elevator to raise and lower a wind powered generator 2, 4, 5. However, **Abe** does not disclose the tower comprising a lower tower section and an upper tower section having the third column converging to the first and second columns.

Fergusson discloses in Figures 6 and 7 a portable wind machine having a portable tower comprising a lower tower section 223 and an upper tower section 225 for the purpose of providing a self containing system that is easy to transport and to install at site without the need of providing an additional crane or other hauling means.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined tower and to provide the tower comprising the lower tower section and the upper tower section as taught by **Douthit** for the purpose of providing a self containing system that is easy to transport and to install at site without the need of providing an additional crane or other hauling means.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. **Claims 1-8, 11-14, 20-23, 46-49, and 58-70 are allowed.**

The feature of the upper tower section including a first upper column, a second upper column and a third upper column, with the first upper column and the second upper column being substantially parallel and the third upper column converging towards the first upper column and the second upper column, in combination with the other limitations present, are neither disclosed nor taught by the prior art of record.

15. **Claims 29-33** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Re claims 29-33, the feature of the wind powered generator including a vertical leg configured to be placed within the carriage and rotate relative to the carriage when the wind powered generator is placed within the carriage, in combination with the other limitations present, are neither disclosed nor taught by the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9, 39-44, 50-52, 54 and 55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

17. Applicant's arguments filed on December 31, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Abe (US 4,311,434) shows in Figure 4 the carriage including the support 6 and the mount 12 that comprises the pivot ring 17. Therefore, the carriage includes the pivot ring as claimed. Regarding the circular shape of the ring examiner directs applicant's attention to column 3 lines 36-47 where Abe describes that the support 6 rotates relatively to the base 9 above the pivot 10 on the thrust bearing 17. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the bearing must be in a form of circle to allow such rotation. Regarding the carriage 12 missing the grooves, examiner directs applicant's attention to Figure 3 where Abe shows carriage 12 having protrusions (not numbered), slidably surrounding guides or strips 1a. Such a structure inherently includes grooves to accept the strips

Regarding claims 16 and 25.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In this particular case Abe teaches invention essentially as claimed with exception to the carriage including the plurality of contacts contacting the rotating portion of the wind power generator.

Douthit teaches the method of transferring the current from the generator to an external storage or transmission means while allowing unlimited and free rotation of the generation above a vertical axis utilizing the well known in the art of rotary connector structures.

In combination Abe and Douthit teach the invention as claimed. The detailed design of such system is a design choice that requires only routine skills in the art.

Regarding possibility of rising and lowering the nacelle furnished with a rotary connector, examiner submits that one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to design the wiring connecting the stationary ring with the outside supply after installing the nacelle on the top of the tower or to disconnect the wiring from nacelle while lowering it down. The motivation for including the rotary connector disclosed by Douthit is provided by examiner in the rejection above and it's function and purpose will be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Moreover, the use of cables and electrical connectors to carry the power generated within the nacelle down the tower and away to its destination is well known in the art (Re column 1, lines 22-26 in US 6,213,721 reference cited in this Office action).

Art Unit: 2834


Re claim 25 the combined structure includes the plurality of contacts indicated by Douthit as wipers 130 and 132.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful Burton S Mullins can be reached on (571) 272-2029. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1850.


Joseph Waks
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2834

JW
February 18, 2004